Case 4:07-cv-00881-CW Document 27 Filed 05/08/07 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4	MELINDA S. RIECHERT, State Bar No. 655 LINDSEY K. SCHROEDER, State Bar No. 2 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122 Tel: 650.843.4000 Fax: 650.843.4001	504 245425	
5	E-mail: mriechert@morganlewis.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC		
7	JASON M. ERLICH, State Bar No. 203661		
8	JOHN H. SCARPINO, State Bar No. 151377 THE ERLICH LAW FIRM		
9	150 Post Street, Suite 742 San Francisco, CA 94108		
10	Tel: 415.296.8420 E-mail: jason@erlichlaw.com		
11			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff SANJAY BAKSHI		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	SANJAY BAKSHI,	Case No. C07-00881 CW	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
18	VS.	ORDER SELECTING ADK I ROCESS	
19	BAYER HEALTHCARE, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, and		
20	DOES 1 through 25 inclusive,		
	Defendants.		
21		A conformed regarding ADR and have reached the	
22	Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the		
23	following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
24	The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:		
25	Court Processes:		
26	Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)		
27	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)		
MORGANI LEWIS &	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	1-PA/3641728.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 1 SELECTING ADR PROCESS (CASE NO. C07-00881 CW)	

PALO ALTO

(Note: Parties who believe that an early s	ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is
appreciably more likely to meet their need	ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an
ADR phone conference and may not file to	his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local I	Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please identify p	process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session	ı by:
the presumptive deadline (The	deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring
the case to an ADR process unless otherw	rise ordered.)
other requested deadline:	
Dated: May <u>,</u> 2007	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
	By: Melinda S Riechert / D Melinda Riechert
	Attorney for Defendant BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC
Dated: May, 2007	By: Jason Erlich
	Attorneys for Plaintiff
	SANJAY BAKSHI
·	
·	
1-PA/3641728.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Case 4:07-cv-00881-CW Document 27 Filed 05/08/07 Page 3 of 3

1	1 - [PROPOS	SED] ORDER
2	2 Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the ca	aptioned matter is hereby referred to:
3	3 Non-binding Arbitration	
4	4 Z Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
5	5 Mediation	
6	6 Private ADR	
7	7	
8	8 Deadline for ADR session	
9	9 $\boxed{\overline{x}}$ 90 days from the date of this order.	
10	0 other	
11	1	
12	2 IT IS SO ORDERED.	Charles A. Sille
13		Chidialeit
14	4 Dated: Hor	norable Claudia Wilken ITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15		TIED STATES DISTRICT VOD GE
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
	·	
28 s &		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

MORGAN, LEWIS BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Palo Alto

SELECTING ADR PROCESS (CASE NO. C07-00881 CW)